Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,183	EDSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Carlos A. Azpuru</u> .	(3)	
(2) Nicole A. Keenan.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 November 2006	Time: <u>12:05 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Nicole Keenane confirmed that she is not the attorney of record as indictated by the letter of Randall Brown and that her office did not send a response to the office action mailed 05/03/2006.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
-/		-
/ onler (lame		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)